<u>COURT-I</u>

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY (Appellate Jurisdiction)

<u>APPEAL NO. 265 OF 2017 & IA NO. 249 OF 2017 & IA NO. 597 OF 2018 & IA NO. 751 OF 2018</u> <u>AND</u> <u>APPEAL NO. 392 OF 2017 & IA NO. 598 OF 2018</u>

Dated: 24th July, 2018

Present: Hon'ble Mr. I. J. Kapoor, Technical Member Hon'ble Mr. Justice N. K. Patil, Judicial Member

In the matter of:

<u>APPEAL NO. 265 OF 2017 & IA NO. 249 OF 2017 & IA NO. 597 OF 2018 & IA NO. 751 OF 2018</u>

Mr. Rama Shankar Awasthi Vs.		Appellant(s)	
RKM Powergen Private Power Limited & Ors.		Respondent(s)	
Counsel for the Appellant(s)	Mr. Shubham Arya Ms. Anushree Bardhar	1	
Counsel for the Respondent(s) :	Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv. Mr. Buddy A. Ranganadhan Mr. Kamal Budhiraja Mr. Aman Gupta for R-1		
	Mr. Rajiv Srivastava Ms. Garima Srivastava Ms. Gargi Srivastava f		
	Mr. C.K. Rai Mr. Sachin Dubey for F	२-३	
APPEAL NO. 392 OF 2017 & IA NO. 598 OF 2018			

Mr. Rama Shanker Awasthi	Appellant(s)
Vs. R.K.M. Powergen Private Limited & Ors.	Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Shubham Arya

Ms. Anushree Bardhan

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv. Mr. Buddy A. Ranganadhan Mr. Kamal Budhiraja Mr. Aman Gupta for R-1

> Mr. Rajiv Srivastava Ms. Garima Srivastava Ms. Gargi Srivastava for R-2

Mr. C.K. Rai Mr. Sachin Dubey for R-3

<u>ORDER</u>

IA NO. 751 OF 2018 in APPEAL No. 265 OF 2017 (Appnl. for condonation of delay in filing reply)

In this application, the applicant/respondent has prayed that delay in filing reply may be condoned.

We have heard learned counsel for the applicant and perused the explanation offered for the delay in filing reply. We find the explanation to be acceptable. Sufficient cause has been made out. Hence, delay in filing reply is condoned and reply is taken on record. Application is disposed of.

IA NOs. 597 & 598 OF 2018

(Appnls. for condonation of delay in filing additional affidavits)

In these applications, the applicant/respondent has prayed that delay in filing additional affidavits may be condoned.

We have heard learned counsel for the applicant and perused the explanation offered for the delay in filing additional affidavits. We find the explanation to be acceptable. Sufficient cause has been made out. Hence, delay in filing additional affidavits is condoned and additional affidavits are taken on record. Applications are disposed of.

APPEAL NOs. 265 & 392 OF 2017

It is represented by learned counsel for the parties that pleadings are complete.

List these matters for hearing on 22nd & 23rd October, 2018.

(Justice N. K. Patil) Judicial Member (I.J. Kapoor) Technical Member

ts/mk